

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,589	04/08/2005	Hideo Takahashi	46445	7579
20736 MANELLI DE	7590 02/05/2007 NISON & SELTER	•	EXAMINER	
			GROUP,	KARL E
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commen	10/523,589	TAKAHASHI ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl E. Group	1755
The MAILING DATE of this commu iod for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conformation. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rimunication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on 08 December 2006	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in conditio	•	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	tice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
_	12-17 is/are withdrawn from consider	ation
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc		by the Examiner.
	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priorit		A 12 12 A1
	y documents have been received in A	· ·
	s of the priority documents have beer ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office acti		traceived
ose the attached detailed office acti	on for a list of the certified copies flor	rreceived.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		(s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

6) Other:

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-9,12-17 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foulger et al (5,630,995), for reasons of record.

Applicants' argument that Foulger et al use multiple calcining steps is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection. Foulger et al use only one calcining step because the titanium dioxide is merely heated and cooled not heated, cooled, reheated and cooled which would be considered more than one calcining steps. Foulger et al raise the temperature in increments but this is not considered multiple calcining steps. The claims are not considered to exclude a single calcining step where the temperature is raised in increments.

Applicants further argue Foulger et al fail to teach the claimed ratio of K_2O/P_2O_5 being 1.5/1-10/1. This is not persuasive in overcoming the rejection because the claimed ratio falls squarely within the claimed ranges taught by Foulger et al. Although the example is outside the claimed range a reference may be used for all it realistically teaches and is not limited to the disclosure of the specific examples, In re Van Mater

Application/Control Number: 10/523,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

144 USPQ 421 (1965). The ranges taught by Foulger et al encompass most of the claimed ratio.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E. Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,589

Art Unit: 1755

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karl E Group

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755

Keg 1-24-07